

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:
Devon County Council

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Y/N

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:
Louise Taylor - 01392 383478

National Indicator Number:

11

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

Indicator Issue	
1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p>	<p>a1. The full list of qualifying activities and events is NOT attached as claimed. The further guidance section points towards the Arts Council and Culture.gov home pages, not the list. List not found.</p> <p>b1. Provide direct link to the full list.</p> <p>a2. The definition refers to 'including by those whose opportunities are limited, to establish the extent to which participation reflects the profile of the host community' without defining limited opportunities or host community, nor defining how this focus is intended to be achieved. Without this information it is not possible to give a</p>

	<p>meaningful answer to the next question on unintended consequences. b2. Provide details.</p>
<p>2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences?</p>	<p>Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?</p>	<p>a1. As above, the definition is unclear, therefore there is the possibility of unintended discrimination. b1. Provide details.</p>
<p>3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice?</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?</p>	
<p>4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level?</p>	<p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it)</p>	
<p>5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?</p>	<p>Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Gender <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Religion <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Age <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Disability <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Other <input type="checkbox"/></p>

	(Please specify)
a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?	
6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.	
Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.	