

LOCAL GOVERNMENT NATIONAL INDICATOR SET TECHNICAL HANDBOOK - RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Name and address of responding organisation:

Is this your organisation's official response to the consultation? Y/N

Name and phone number of key contact in case of follow-up queries:

National Indicator Number:

NI 159

Please complete a separate form for each indicator on which you wish to respond

Indicator Issue	
1. Is the Technical Definition of this indicator clear?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>If NO</p> <p>a. What aspects of the technical definition of the indicator are unclear? Please specify clearly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - is it in relation to the measurement method, or - on reporting <p>b. Please suggest how the template can be clarified/improved.</p>	<p>The SHLAA is a methodology that is developed by local authorities and applied locally. The recent changes to the development plan system mean that not all local authorities have SHLAA information and information that is included in AMRs is drawn from a variety of different sources. Where LDF processes are not well advanced the RSS, as the development plan document, will not contain sufficient detail to identify 5 year supply of deliverable sites</p> <p>SHLAA will identify the sites, but not give a definitive number of</p>

	 dwellings - this will vary according to density and other assumptions.
2. Does the Technical Definition for this indicator have any unintended consequences?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
If YES a. What are the unintended consequences on this national indicator? b. Can the unintended consequence be avoided? If so, how?	Danger that data will be insufficiently robust and methodology will not be applied in a consistent manner
3. Will the Technical Definitions for this indicator work in practice?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If NO a. Why would this technical definition not work in practice?	See above
4. Is this indicator defined at the right spatial level?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
a) If not, what level should it be defined at? (including whether information is already gathered and/or reported at that level and if so where, if not, estimated cost of collecting and reporting it)	
5. Should data for this indicator be provided for any or all of the different equalities strands (please tick the relevant box)?	Ethnicity <input type="checkbox"/> Gender <input type="checkbox"/> Religion <input type="checkbox"/> Age <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual orientation <input type="checkbox"/> Disability <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> (Please specify)

a) For any boxes ticked at 5, is this information already gathered and/or reported and, if so, where? If not, what would be the estimated additional burden of collecting and reporting it?	
6. Further comments on the questions above and /or any other comments that are not covered above questions.	
Completed versions can be sent to niconsultation@communities.gsi.gov.uk . Hard copy responses should be returned to Local Government Quality and Performance Division, Zone J2, 4 th Floor, Eland House, Bressenden Place, LONDON, SW1E 5DU.	