

## Deadline for return – 15 October 2009 (Quarter 2 Performance Report)

### Local Area Agreement 2008-2011

**LAA Priority:** Increased participation in cultural activities (LAA12)

**Lead Contact:** Simon Timms

**RAG Status:** Amber

**RED** = fundamental blockage to progress with milestones and/or achievement of target  
**AMBER** = positive movement but with risks to achievement of milestones and/or target (so cannot say with confidence that priority would be achieved)  
**GREEN** = on track to achieve target and Milestones

#### Delivery Board Recommendations

Delivery Board are asked to note the current position with regard to the culture priority in the LAA

#### Summary Statement:

A change since the previous quarter's report has been the progress of the Devon submission to the Government's Building Schools for the Future programme. In July Partnerships for Schools issued guidance for how cultural issues should be addressed through the BSF programme, emphasising the linkages to be made with community needs. Under the BSF guidance a Culture Stakeholders Group has been established for Devon. Consideration is now being given as to how this new workstream can best relate to the LAA priority - and vice-versa.

An update on cultural issues was given to the ESSG's September meeting.

**\*\*Please update the data for quarter 2\*\***

#### Performance Indicators – National and Local

| Code | Title   | +/-<br>(see 1 below) | Year  | Annual Target | Status<br>(see 2 below) | Improvement<br>(see 3 below) | Actual to Date | Officer Notes                                      |
|------|---|----------------------|-------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--|
|      | Countywide Partnership established.               | 1+                   | 09/10 | 1 P'ship      | On Target               | Unchanged                    | 0              | Culture Reference Gp also being set up for Exeter. |
|      | Devon Cultural Infrastructure Strategy completed. | 1+                   | 09/10 | 1 Working Gp  | On Target               | Unchanged                    | 0              | See above  |
|      |   |                      |       |               |                         |                              |                |  |
|      |   |                      |       |               |                         |                              |                |  |

**Key to symbols (insert as appropriate):**

1. + equals higher figures are better and – equals lower figures are better
2. **No Data/Well Below Target/Below Target/On Target/Above Target/Well Above Target**
3. Improved Performance ↑ Worse Performance ↓ Unchanged ↔

**Key Milestones (Last Quarter – Quarter 2 09/10, ends 30 September 09)**

|    | Description   | Date                      | Outputs expected  | Achieved/missed |
|----|---|---------------------------|---|-----------------|
| 1. | LGR Announcement  | September (formerly July) | Impact for cultural services analysed; potential for community boards assessed. | Missed          |
| 2. | Devon C Partnership                                     | September                 | Leader person identified and initial shadow meeting arranged.                   | Missed          |
| 3. | Culture Board for Devon Building Schools for the Future | September                 | Board with Terms of Reference established                                       | Achieved        |
| 4. | Plymouth and Torbay Partnerships                        | June                      | Liaison arrangements established  | Missed          |
|    |   |                           |   |                 |
|    |   |                           |   |                 |

**Key Milestones (This Quarter – Quarter 3 09/10, ends 31 December 09)**

|    | Description                      | Date     | Outputs expected  |
|----|----------------------------------|----------|---|
| 1. | Devon C Partnership              | December | Lead person identified and initial shadow meeting arranged. |
| 2. | Plymouth and Torbay Partnerships | December | Liaison arrangements established                            |
| 3. |                                  |          |   |
| 4. |                                  |          |   |

**Key Risks (\*\*Please update the following with reference to scoring guidelines below\*\*)**

| Risk   | Impact | Likelihood | Score | Mitigation  |
|--|--------|------------|-------|---|
| 1. Lack of willingness for bodies to work together                             | 4      | 2          | 8     | Progress discussions post-LGR announcement  |
| 2. Lack of capacity for “Duty to Cooperate” bodies to take part in partnership | 4      | 3          | 12    | Progress liaison through new SW LA Cultural Partnership                             |
| 3. Lack of capacity for key cultural bodies to take part in                    | 5      | Not known  |       | Identify likely ability of key agencies. Consider need to organise smaller group of |

|   |   |   |    |   |
|---|---|---|----|---|
| partnership (eg due to economic downturn)               |   |   |    | 'cultural leaders'                              |
| 4. New Partnership perceived as DCC initiative          | 3 | 3 | 9  | Appoint non-DCC lead person for the partnership |
| 5. Lack of funding to create and invigorate partnership | 5 | 3 | 15 | DSP to identify budget                          |
| 6.  |   |   |    |   |

### Spatial Implications

| District    | Impact (insert ✓ or x or unknown) | Disaggregated Data (✓ or x) | Examples of Local Delivery Outcomes  |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Exeter      | Y                                 | Y                           | Culture included in LDF infrastructure strategy through Planning for Culture reference group |
| East Devon  | Y                                 | Y                           | Culture included in LDF infrastructure strategy  |
| Mid Devon   | Y                                 | Y                           | Culture included in LDF infrastructure strategy  |
| North Devon | Y                                 | Y                           | Culture included in LDF infrastructure strategy  |
| Torridge    | Y                                 | Y                           | Culture included in LDF infrastructure strategy  |
| West Devon  | Y                                 | Y                           | Culture included in LDF infrastructure strategy  |
| South Hams  | Y                                 | Y                           | Culture included in LDF infrastructure strategy  |
| Teignbridge | Y                                 | Y                           | Culture included in LDF infrastructure strategy  |

### \*Risks – Scoring Guidelines:

| Likelihood of risk:  | Impact of risk:   | Total risk score (likelihood x impact)  |
|--|---|---|
| 1 – Very low<br>2 – Low<br>3 – Medium<br>4 – High<br>5 – Very high | 1 – Insignificant<br>2 – Minor<br>3 – Moderate<br>4 – Serious<br>5 – Very serious | <b>1 – 6 Low</b><br><b>8 – 12 Medium</b><br><b>14 – 20 High</b><br><b>Over 20 Very high</b> |