

## Deadline for return – 15 October 2009 (Quarter 2 Performance Report)

### Local Area Agreement 2008-2011

LAA Priority: Promote active, empowered and influential communities (LAA16)

Lead Contact: Sue Craythorne

RAG Status: **Amber**

**RED** = fundamental blockage to progress with milestones and/or achievement of target  
**AMBER** = positive movement but with risks to achievement of milestones and/or target (so cannot say with confidence that priority would be achieved)  
**GREEN** = on track to achieve target and Milestones

#### Delivery Board Recommendations

To note the progress being made toward a firm delivery plan

To note the targets to be achieved

**Delivery Board are asked to:**

No decisions or actions required this quarter

#### Summary Statement:

There have been delays in obtaining confirmation of LPSA2 reward funding from CLG. However, there is no reason to suppose that it will not be available by the end of the calendar year.

The Stronger Communities and Health Improvement Group (SCHIG) has had its second meeting and is making good progress in identifying its workplan and role.

The Devon (VCS) Consortium has worked up its projects in more detail and is currently undertaking a prioritisation exercise in order to help to get the work within the available budget.

Once this is complete, it will be possible to draw up a full delivery plan.

Advice will be sought from Devon Procurement Unit with regard to commissioning processes.

In summary, progress is being made, albeit more slowly than planned.

In terms of mainstream activity of statutory sector partners, the following activity has been undertaken or is planned:

- DSP Partnership Board has agreed to the development of an engagement 'protocol'
- DCC Adult Services, NHS Devon and DCC Children and Young People's Services are working together on an engagement strategy, with support from the Chief Executive's Directorate
- NHS Devon is reviewing its support for VCS infrastructure

There is increasing awareness of the need to work together on the community engagement agenda, and of the significance of the third sector, in order to achieve many of the statutory sector's targets and objectives.

**\*\*Please update the data for quarter 2 (if possible)\*\***

#### Performance Indicators – National and Local

Code	Title	+/- (see 1 below)	Year	Annual Target	Status (see 2 below)	Improvement (see 3 below)	Actual to Date	Officer Notes
------	-------	-------------------------	------	------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------	------------------

NI4	% of people who feel they can influence decisions in their locality	+	FY 2008/09	Baseline for LAA	No target	<>	27.9%	(+/- 1.20)
NI7	Environment for a thriving third sector	+	FY 2008/09		Data not due	n/a <>	n/a	

**Key to symbols (insert as appropriate):**

1. + equals higher figures are better and – equals lower figures are better
2. **No Data/Well Below Target/Below Target/On Target/Above Target/Well Above Target**
3. Improved Performance ↑ Worse Performance ↓ Unchanged ↔

**Key Milestones (Last Quarter – Quarter 2 09/10, ends 30 September 09)**

	Description	Date	Outputs expected	Achieved/missed
1.	Agreement on specification of contracts	Mid August	Written specification of outcomes to be delivered by contracts	Achieved
2.	Application for LPSA2 reward funding	30th September 2009	Application submitted and written confirmation of monies to be paid from CLG	Missed
3.	Agreement on commissioning process	30th September 2009	Written agreement	Missed
4.	Sign off by HSC Partnership	30th September 2009	Green light for commissioning	Missed

**Key Milestones (This Quarter – Quarter 3 09/10, ends 31 December 09)**

	Description	Date	Outputs expected
1.	Application for LPSA2 reward funding submitted	31 <sup>st</sup> October 2009	Submission made
2.	Funding received	31 <sup>st</sup> Dec 2009	Funding received
3.	Commissioning process starts	31 <sup>st</sup> December 2009	Commissioning in progress
4.	SCHIG and HSCP sign off the delivery plan	28 <sup>th</sup> January 2010	Delivery plan agreed

**Key Risks (\*\*Please update the following with reference to scoring guidelines below\*\*)**

Risk	Impact	Likelihood	Score	Mitigation
1. CLG LPSA	Very Serious	Very Low	6	Would need to work with

reward funding not available				partners to maximise mainstream activity on community engagement and third sector capacity building
2. Inability to agree on specification and commissioning process	Moderate	Very Low	3	DCC and statutory sector partners would need to 'go it alone'
3. Commissioned work fails to deliver	Serious	Low	8	Ensure robust project monitoring to pick up problems early.
4.				
5.				
6.				

### Spatial Implications

District	Impact (insert √ or x or unknown)	Disaggregated Data (√ or x)	Examples of Local Delivery Outcomes
Exeter	√	√	My Neighbourhood community engagement project
East Devon	x	√	
Mid Devon	√	√	Community development work targeted on communities most in need. Work about to start on new community strategy
North Devon	√	√	Ilfracombe neighbourhood management pathfinder
Torridge	x	√	
West Devon	√	√	Princetown partners engagement activity
South Hams	x	√	
Teignbridge	√	√	Deprived communities identified for targeted action

### \*Risks – Scoring Guidelines:

Likelihood of risk:	Impact of risk:	Total risk score (likelihood x impact)
1 – Very low 2 – Low 3 – Medium 4 – High 5 – Very high	1 – Insignificant 2 – Minor 3 – Moderate 4 – Serious 5 – Very serious	<b>1 – 6 Low</b> <b>8 – 12 Medium</b> <b>14 – 20 High</b> <b>Over 20 Very high</b>