

Deadline for return – 15 October 2009 (Quarter 2 Performance Report)

Local Area Agreement 2008-2011

LAA Priority: Driving business competitiveness and reducing carbon emissions through public sector supply chains (LAA6)

Lead Contact: Nicola Tribble (N.B. Nicola is going on maternity leave in December – Iain Perkins will be covering this priority)

RAG Status: Green

RED = fundamental blockage to progress with milestones and/or achievement of target
AMBER = positive movement but with risks to achievement of milestones and/or target (so cannot say with confidence that priority would be achieved)
GREEN = on track to achieve target and Milestones

Delivery Board Recommendations

N/A

Delivery Board are asked to:

N/A

Summary Statement:

The steering group have started to share policy information with regards to sustainable development and each partner organisation has started to map their performance against the Flexible Framework (national target for all government bodies to be at level 3 by December 09).

As part of the BREW funding a pilot project is now running in conjunction with the outcome of this LAA. 3 commodity areas of local supply has been identified; food and drink, print and construction. Partners have put together a list of supplier details and they have been invited to join in the project with the aim of reducing carbon emissions and increasing GVA.

Performance Indicators – National and Local

Code	Title	+/- (see 1 below)	Year	Annual Target	Status (see 2 below)	Improvement (see 3 below)	Actual to Date	Officer Notes
LAA6	Increased local productivity (GVA)	No data	2009	Project total - £400,000.00	On target	Improved performance	0	Project co-ordination has begun and a pilot supply chain is being identified. No data target for 2009
LAA6	Tonnes of business generated CO2 emissions	No Data	2009	Project total - 3000 tonnes	On target	Improved performance	0	Project co-ordination has begun and a pilot supply chain is being identified. No data target for 2009

1. + equals higher figures are better and – equals lower figures are better

2. No Data/Well Below Target/Below Target/On Target/Above Target/Well Above Target
 3. Improved Performance ↑ Worse Performance ↓ Unchanged ↔

Key Milestones (Last Quarter – Quarter 2 09/10, ends 30 September 09)

	Description	Date	Outputs expected	Achieved/missed
1.	Agree upon common supply chain for pilot project	July	Identify commodity area which is supplied by a local supply chain and has links to all or majority of partner agencies	Achieved
2.	Policy development and promotion	July – November	All partners have an integrated sustainability in to their procurement policies especially in relation to managing supply chains	Improved performance
3.	Targeting businesses and benchmarking	July - September	Standard procurement questionnaire sent to supply chain of all public sector agencies. 40 businesses to benchmark activities using Carbon Tracker.	Achieved

Key Milestones (This Quarter – Quarter 3 09/10, ends 31 December 09)

	Description	Date	Outputs expected
1.	Policy development and promotion	October - November	Sustainable procurement policy development to be completed and all partners to have a work plan for achieving Level 3 against the Flexible framework
2.	Targeting businesses and benchmarking	November	Questionnaire completed by suppliers and results collated. Supplier events organised and set up – suppliers wishing to participate are invited. Suppliers begin to benchmark carbon emissions against carbon tracker tool
3.	<i>Events, workshops, support 70 businesses engaged through events, workshops and ongoing support</i>	November - February	Suppliers invited to work shop and support sessions.

Key Risks (Please update the following with reference to scoring guidelines below**)**

Risk	Impact	Likelihood	Score	Mitigation
1. Inadequate funding	4	3	12	Brew funding received to help support work

				undertaken by GAP. LIA support being requested from SWREIP
2. Suppliers do not see value in project and don't participate	5	2	10	Key suppliers have been identified and should value business. Questionnaire and support is directed to individuals to make it more engaging

Spatial Implications

District	Impact (insert ✓ or x or unknown)	Disaggregated Data (✓ or x)	Examples of Local Delivery Outcomes
Exeter	x		
East Devon	x		
Mid Devon	x		
North Devon	x		
Torridge	x		
West Devon	x		
South Hams	x		
Teignbridge	x		

*Risks – Scoring Guidelines:

Likelihood of risk:	Impact of risk:	Total risk score (likelihood x impact)
1 – Very low 2 – Low 3 – Medium 4 – High 5 – Very high	1 – Insignificant 2 – Minor 3 – Moderate 4 – Serious 5 – Very serious	1 – 6 Low 8 – 12 Medium 14 – 20 High Over 20 Very high