

Local Area Agreement 2008-2011

LAA Priority: Improve access to services and facilities through coordination of transport services and access to information technology, ensuring dignity and respect for all (LAA9)

Lead Contact: Ian Hobbs

RAG Status: Green (delete as appropriate)

RED = fundamental blockage to progress with milestones and/or achievement of target
AMBER = positive movement but with risks to achievement of milestones and/or target (so cannot say with confidence that priority would be achieved)
GREEN = on track to achieve target and Milestones

Delivery Board Recommendations *(Please list any decisions/actions required on the part of the Delivery Board)*

Delivery Board are asked to: Note the success of this target

Summary Statement:

Targets at end of LAA have already been surpassed. The challenge now is to maintain and improve that position

Code	Title	+/- (see 1 below)	Year	Annual Target	Status (see 2 below)	Improvement (see 3 below)	Actual to Date	Officer Notes
NI 175	Access to services and facilities by public transport, walking and cycling	-	FY 2007 8-08	-9.0%	23% improvement achieved		32,865 people	Nb Baseline was 42,860; Target was 36,130. 08/09 figures will not be known until end December.
NI 177	Local Bus Journeys originating in the authority area.	+	08/09	1%	Well above target	Target was to achieve 23,502,811 journeys – actual performance was 25,248,401	7.4%	Baseline was 23,270,110 journeys. Target at end of LAA is 23,975,217 so target has already been exceeded.

Key to symbols (insert as appropriate):

1. + equals higher figures are better and – equals lower figures are better

2. No Data/Well Below Target/Below Target/On Target/Above Target/Well Above Target
3. Improved Performance ↑ Worse Performance ↓ Unchanged ↔

Key Milestones (Last Quarter – Quarter 2 09/10, ends 30 September 09)

	Description	Date	Outputs expected	Achieved/missed

Key Milestones (This Quarter – Quarter 3 09/10, ends 31 December 09)

	Description	Date	Outputs expected

Key Risks (*Please refer to scoring guidelines below)

Risk	Impact	Likelihood	Score	Mitigation

Spatial Implications

District	Impact (insert √ or x or unknown)	Disaggregated Data (√ or x)	Examples of Local Delivery Outcomes
Exeter	Using accession mapping analysis has been undertaken of those areas that do not have daily access to a nearby town centre by public, community or voluntary transport. This highlights a number of communities where access is most problematic – typically these are rural and peripheral/coastal areas. The next stage in mapping accessibility will be to overlay this analysis with availability of shops, post offices, village halls etc. This will be available during the autumn.		
East Devon			
Mid Devon			
North Devon			
Torridge			
West Devon			
South Hams			
Teignbridge			

***Risks – Scoring Guidelines:**

Likelihood of risk:	Impact of risk:	Total risk score (likelihood x
---------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------

		impact)
1 – Very low 2 – Low 3 – Medium 4 – High 5 – Very high	1 – Insignificant 2 – Minor 3 – Moderate 4 – Serious 5 – Very serious	1 – 6 Low 8 – 12 Medium 14 – 20 High Over 20 Very high